

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/646,980	08/22/2003	Chung K. Chu	G25-078	1065
7590 05/23/2006			EXAM	CAMINER
Henry D. Coleman COLEMAN SUDOL SAPONE PC 714 Colorado Avenue			ALSTRUM ACEVEDO, JAMES HENRY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bridgeport, CT 06605-1601			1616	. =
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/646,980	CHU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	oears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pre-	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 20-24,27-34 and 37-39 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 20-24, 27-34, and 37-39 is/are rejected 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and applicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration. ed. or election requirement. er. eepted or b) □ objected to by the	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicativity documents have been received in CPCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 20-24, 27-34, and 37-39 are pending. Receipt of Applicant's amended claims, IDS, and remarks/arguments filed on March 21, 2006 is acknowledged.

# Specification

The objection of claim 39 because for ending in two periods **is withdrawn**, per Applicant's amendment to said claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The rejection of claims 20-39 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification does not reasonably provide enablement for head and neck, bladder, uterine, and small cell lung cancers **is withdrawn**, per Applicant's amendments to said claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 21-24 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,980

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 21-24 and 27-29 are vague and indefinite, because these claims depend from claim 40; however, there is no claim 40 in the instant application.

#### **Double Patenting**

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

The rejection of claims 21-23 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 3-6, and 9-15 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,817,667 (Chu et al.) is withdrawn, due to Applicant's amendments to said claims.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

The rejection of claims 20-24, 27-34, and 37-39 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 5,817,667 <u>is maintained</u>, because Applicant has not filed a terminal disclaimer to overcome the instant rejection. Claims 25-26 and 35-36 were cancelled by the Applicant.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 7-8 of Applicant's Remarks/Arguments, filed March 21, 2006, with respect to the rejection of (a) claims 20-39 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (b) claims 21-23 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 3-6, and 9-15 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,817,667, and the objection of claim 39 for ending said claim in two periods have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of claim 39 and the rejection of (a) claims 20-39 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (b) claims 21-23 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 3-6, and 9-15 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,817,667 have been withdrawn.

It is noted that Applicant did not traverse the rejection of claims 20-39 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, but stated a willingness to file a terminal disclaimer to overcome this rejection once the claims appeared to be in condition for allowance.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

### Claims 20-24, 27-34, and 37-39 are rejected. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James H. Alstrum-Acevedo whose telephone number is (571) 272-5548. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00-6:30, with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571) 272-0664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/646,980

Art Unit: 1616

Page 6

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James H. Alstrum-Acevedo, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

Johann Richter, Ph. D., Esq. Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600